

PostGIS meets the Third Dimension

Olivier COURTIN

FOSS4G 2010 8 September - Barcelona





Presentation Plan

- 1) Oslandia Short Presentation
- 2) 3D in GIS, what for ?
- 3) Spatial databases standards and 3D
- 4) PostGIS 3D implementation
- 5) 3D open issues
- 6) Roadmap and Conclusions



Presentation Plan

1) Oslandia Short Presentation

- 2) 3D in GIS, what for ?
- 3) Spatial databases standards and 3D
- 4) PostGIS 3D implementation
- 5) 3D open issues
- 6) Roadmap and Conclusions



Young French SME Open Source GIS company

PostGIS Experts: Vincent Picavet and Olivier Courtin

Mainly Focuses on:

- Spatial Databases (PostGIS, SpatiaLite)
- OGC, ISO, INSPIRE Standards and SDI architecture
- Complex analysis: Routing, Network and Graphs Solutions

Oslandia Ecosystem:





Oslandia's Technologies

3D GDAL GEOS

GRASS GraphServer INSPIRE MapServer

OGC PgRouting PostGIS

PostgreSQL Spatialite TinyOWS

TileCache PyWPS QGIS



Oslandia, Find us on FOSS4G

Running Long and Complexes Processes with PostGIS

Vincent Picavet: Wednesday - 12h00 – Sala 6

PostGIS Meets Third Dimension

Olivier Courtin : Wednesday - 12h30 – Sala 6

State of the Art of FOSS4G for Topology and Network Analysis Vincent Picavet: Thursday – 14h30 – Sala 5



Breakout Session: Spatial Database

Code Sprint on Friday: PostGIS



Presentation Plan

1) Oslandia Short Presentation

2) 3D in GIS, what for ?

3) Spatial databases standards and 3D

4) PostGIS 3D implementation

5) 3D open issues

6) Roadmap and Conclusions



3D GIS: A meeting point

BIM:

Focus on **Building** model

CAD/CAO world

IFC standard





CIM: Focus on **City** model

GIS world

CityGML standard



3D BIM: Facilities and Physics Networks





3D CIM: City Model





CityGML Overview





- OGC Standard
- 3D format XML based
- Use GML 3.1.1 for geometries encoding
- INSPIRE Recommandation



Mostly **focus on CIM** (rather than BIM) More an **interoperability GIS format** exchange (rather than direct 3D rendering)



CityGML Supports







- Textures
- Extensible Application Model (ADE)



- Level Of Details (LOD)



CityGML: (LOD) Levels Of Details



Presentation Plan

1) Oslandia Short Presentation

2) 3D in GIS, what for ?

3) Spatial databases standards and 3D

4) PostGIS 3D implementation

5) 3D open issues

6) Roadmap and Conclusions



Spatial database standards









New Surface types: Triangle

One exterior ring with 3 differents points (and 1 point more to close the ring)

No interior ring (i.e no hole)

Points must not be colinears

Triangle could be 2D, 3D, 3DM or even 4D

TRIANGLE((0 2, 10 4, 12 0, 0 2))





New Surface types: TIN

Collection of triangles connected by edges

Every triangle share **same orientation**

TIN could enclose a solid (or not)

TIN could be 2D, 3D, 3DM or even 4D



New Surface types: PolyhedralSurface

Collection of **polygons connected by edges**

Every polygon share **same orientation**

Points of the polygon must be coplanar (enough)

Polygons could have internal rings (i.e holes)

PolyhedralSurface could enclose a solid (or not)

PolyhedralSurface could be 2D, 3D, 3DM or even 4D

POLYHEDRALSURFACE(((0 2, 10 4, 12 0, 5 8, 0 2)), ((0 2, -2 -6, 12 -6, 12 0, 0 2)))

O S L A N D I A



New Surface types: PolyhedralSurface

A 3D PolyhedralSurface example, enclosing a cube



POLYHEDRALSURFACE(((0 0 0, 0 0 1, 0 1 1, 0 1 0, 0 0 0)), ((0 0 0, 0 1 0, 1 1 0, 1 0 0, 0 0 0)), ((0 0 0, 1 0 0, 1 0 1, 0 0 1, 0 0 0)), ((1 1 0, 1 1 1, 1 0 1, 1 0 0, 1 1 0)), ((0 1 0, 0 1 1, 1 1 1, 1 1 0, 0 1 0)), ((0 0 1, 1 0 1, 1 1 1, 0 1 1, 0 0 1)))



Spatial database standards: 3D specs

	OGC SFS 1.2 2006	Draft ISO SQL/MM 2009	Draft ISO 19125 2010
Triangle	No	Yes	Yes
TIN	Yes	Yes	Yes
PolyhedralSurface	Yes	Yes	Yes
Functions on TIN and PolyhedralSurface handling	Yes	Yes	Yes
Functions on 3D Topology and measures (Distance, Intersects)	Νο	Yes	Yes
Vertical Datum	No	No	Yes



Presentation Plan

1) Oslandia Short Presentation

2) 3D in GIS, what for ?

3) Spatial databases standards and 3D

4) PostGIS 3D implementation

5) 3D open issues

6) Roadmap and Conclusions



Spaghetti storage model is not enough

On **common PostGIS geometry** storage, geometry **spaghetti model is used**.

On connected surfaces it leads to **redundant informations** (red edges below) (and also to possible topology artefacts)



Aim for connected surfaces is to **store topology** geometry based on edges and faces

Aim is also to know if a geometry **is wheter a solid or not** (without additional computation)



HowTo Store: Using Half Edges

Best structure to store PolyhedralSurface and TIN topology is based on Half Edges



No vertex stored twice

Edge Orientation is given by the pointer between each pair of half edges.



HowTo Store: Double Connected Edge List



A Double Connected Edge List (**DECL**)

Each arrow means a pointer

Structure used by CGAL and OpenMeshes





mecanism to store data into PostgreSQL



But serialization of a DCEL is not efficient at all !

So we use indexed array to store edges (implies a limit to ~4 billions of vertex per feature)



Face and Edge Arrays Structure I

```
typedef struct
{
        POINT4D *s;
        POINT4D *e;
        int count;
} TEDGE;
typedef struct
ł
        int nedges;
        int maxedges;
        int *edges;
        int nrings;
        POINTARRAY **rings;
} TFACE;
```

- /* Edge starting point */
- /* Edge ending point */
- /* Count how many time this edge is used in the TGEOM. Caution: We don't care about edge orientation ! */

```
/* Array of edge index, a negative value
  means that the edge is reversed */
```

```
/* Internal rings array */
```



Face and Edge Arrays Structure II

typedef struct

uchar type; uchar flags; uint32 srid; BOX3D *bbox; int nedges; int nedges; int maxedges; TEDGE **edges; int nfaces; int nfaces; int maxfaces; TFACE **faces;

} TGEOM;

/* 0 == unknown */
/* NULL == unneeded */



Compliant Functions Availables on Trunk

Box2D Box3D GeometryType ST Affine ST Area ST AsBinary ST AsEWKT ST AsEWKB ST AsGML ST_Dimension ST Dump ST DumpPoints ST_Expand ST Extent ST Extent3D ST FlipCoordinates ST Force_2D

ST Force 3D ST Force 3DZ ST GeomFromEWKT ST GeomFromEWKB ST GeomFromGML ST GeometryN ST GeometryType ST IsClosed ST MemSize ST NumGeometries ST NumPatches ST PatchN ST Perimeter ST Perimeter3D ST Rotate ST RotateX ST RotateY ST RotateZ ST Scale ST Shift Longitude ST Transform



Presentation Plan

1) Oslandia Short Presentation

- 2) 3D in GIS, what for ?
- 3) Spatial databases standards and 3D
- 4) PostGIS 3D implementation
- 5) 3D open issues
- 6) Roadmap and Conclusions



Open Issues Lists

- 1) Triangulation
- 2) **TIN Simplification**
- 3) CityGML Loader
- 4) IsValid geometries check
- 5) Vertical Datum
- 6) Multidimensionnal Index
- 7) TIN for DEM Storage
- 8) Texture handling
- 9) Google Earth I/O
- 10) 3D Topology functions



Open Issue #1:

Triangulation

Lot of **3D usages** only deal with **TIN** and not with PolyhedralSurface

So we must be able to **convert PolyhedralSurface to TIN**

Known Implementations: CGAL, TetGen





Open Issue #2:

Tin Simplification

Reduce the number of triangles

Preserve the volume

Preserve the global and local **shapes**



Source: CGAL

Fast and memory efficient Algorithm: Lindstrom and Turk

Known Implementations: CGAL, GTS



Open Issue #3:



GML 3 handles a lots of **geometry types** that **spatial database standard don't**. (true solid...)

GML allow composition of several solids into a single feature

Interesting to be able to **downsize LOD** (e.g: LOD 3 -> LOD 2)

CityGML extension application schema (ADE)

Implies **Triangulation** and **simplification** to import TIN into database and **ST_Union on 3D**





IsValid Check

IsValid checks are currently done by GEOS GEOS don't care about Z dimension nor 3D types



Open Issue #5:

SRID is used to reference a Coordinate Reference System in 2D



We need also to be consistent add a vertical SRID for Z axis

Proj4 begins to add **vertical datum support** (cf Franck's conference yesterday)





Multidimensional index implementation will be needed to **improve** again **performances** on 3D data.



Open Issues #7

DEM storage



Source: NASA

Terrain DEM model use also often TIN structure

But it's a **NONSENSE** to store a whole DEM in a single PostGIS TIN feature !

To store efficiently:

- Ability to **split the** whole **TIN** into smaller pieces
- Store each pieces in a different PostgreSQL row
- 2D spatial index to access quickly to each piece

Open Issue #8:

Texture



A Texture is composed of:

A 2D geometry (UV)

Associated to an image

Explore the ability to deal with **texture handling** throught **WKT Raster**



Open Issue #9:

For 3D **Google Earth use Collada** embedded inside KML (Model tag)

GE only deals with **TIN smaller** than **21845 triangles**

KML altitude could be relative to ground or absolute (geocentric)

COLLADA could **use texture** images



Google Earth I/O

Google Earth input/output handle implies: triangulation, TIN simplification, vertical datum and texture support.



Open Issue #10:

Topology Operations



Now **Topology operations** are done by **GEOS**

GEOS (and JTS) only handle 2D (OGC SFS 1.1)

3D Topology Operations are in latest SQL/MM and ISO 19125 **drafts**

Figure 8: The 9-intersection model: possible relationships between 3D and 3D objects (source Zlatanova, Rahman and Shi 2002)

There's a need for a robust **C++ Topology lib** able to fully handle **ISO 19107** (2D and 3D).



Presentation Plan

1) Oslandia Short Presentation

- 2) 3D in GIS, what for ?
- 3) Spatial databases standards and 3D
- 4) PostGIS 3D implementation

5) 3D open issues

6) Roadmap and Conclusions



Who contributes to PostGIS 3D?



Regina Obe

Quality assurance and documentation

Nicklas Aven

3D Distance functions



Olivier Courtin

PostGIS 3D geometry type and import/export functions



3D Roadmap - PostGIS 2.0



And Then What's Next ?

Well it depends on you too !

We are looking for help:

C/C++ Developpers to tackle some open issues

Fund to finance development effort



Conclusions

We already implement in PostGIS trunk the only published standard database available for 3D (OGC SFS 1.2)

So, **PostGIS 2.0** will be able to **deal with 3D geometries** primitives and some related additional spatial functions



Conclusions

We already implement in PostGIS trunk the only published standard database available for 3D (OGC SFS 1.2)

So, **PostGIS 2.0** will be able to **deal with 3D geometries** primitives and some related additional spatial functions

A **full 3D support** (topology, vertical datum, textures...) is an huge work and **will require** related **funding** / effort.

3D Topology library is a **broader issue** than only PostGIS concern, and could/should be **shared by other FOSS4G** apps.





Olivier COURTIN olivier.courtin@oslandia.com

www.oslandia.com

